arXiv:1703.07400v1 [gr-qc] 21 Mar 2017

ON THE LOCAL EXTENSION OF KILLING VECTOR FIELDS IN ELECTROVACUUM SPACETIMES

ELENA GIORGI

Abstract

We revisit the problem of extension of a Killing vector field in a spacetime which is solution to the Einstein equation with electromagnetic stress/energy tensor. This extension has been proven to be unique in the case of a Killing vector field which is normal to a bifurcate horizon in [8]. Here we generalize the extension of the vector field to a strong null convex domain in an electrovacuum spacetime, inspired by the same technique used in [4] in the setting of Ricci flat manifolds. We also prove a result concerning non-extendibility: we show that one can find local, stationary electrovacuum extension of a Kerr-Newman solution in a full neighborhood of a point of the horizon, that is not on the bifurcation sphere, which admits no extension of the Hawking vector field. This generalizes the construction in [4] to the electrovacuum case.

1 Introduction

Suppose (M, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, and let Z be a Killing vector field defined on an open subset $O \subset M$. We are interested in the problem of identifying the necessary conditions on the manifold and on the subset O for which Z can be uniquely extended to be a Killing vector field in (M, g). The problem has been solved in many cases:

- If (M, g) is an analytic and simply connected manifold, a result of Nomizu (see [6]) assures the uniqueness of the extension of a Killing field defined on a connect open domain O. In this case, the analiticity condition is an hypothesis strong enough to prove the desired extension, without the requirement of an additional equation for the metric g or a condition on the domain O.

- If (M, g) is a smooth Ricci flat metric and $O \subset M$ is bounded by a regular, bifurcate, non expanding horizon, then the local extension of a Killing vector

field normal to the horizon is unique in a full neighborhood of the horizon, as proved by Alexakis, Ionescu and Klainerman in [1]. In this theorem, the strong structure of the boundary, which is a bifurcate horizon, implies by itself the existence of a Killing vector field along the generators of the horizon. Such Killing vector field is then proven to be extendible as a Killing field in a full neighborhood of the horizon. The result is proven by extending the Killing vector field along a geodesic, which is transversal to the horizon, generated by a vector field L using the commutator relation $[Z, L] = L$, and then using Carleman estimates to prove unique continuation.

- If (M, g) is a smooth Ricci flat metric and $O \subset M$ is a strongly null convex domain (as defined below) at a point $p \in \partial O$, then the local extension is unique in a neighborhood of the point p in M, as proved by Ionescu and Klainerman in [4]. In this case, the domain O doesn't have a particular structure that implies by itself the existence of a Killing vector field along its boundary. Therefore the authors have to assume the existence of a Killing vector field up to the boundary of O as well as a convexity condition (which was instead implied in the previous case treated in [1] by the structure of the bifurcate horizon), and then show that the extension is unique. The proof in this case relies on the hypothesis of Ricci flatness to derive a system of transport and wave equations to which Carleman estimates are applied to prove a unique continuation result. In [4], the vector field is extended in a more general way with respect to the one used in [1], i.e. through the Jacobi equation. This allows the authors to find a closed system of transport equations and covariant wave equations in the case of an open domain O which is not necessarily bounded by a bifurcate horizon.

- If (M, g) is an electrovacuum spacetime[1] and $O \subset M$ is bounded by a regular, bifurcate, non expanding horizon, then the local extension of a Killing vector field normal to the horizon is unique in a full neighborhood of the horizon, as proved by Yu in [8]. In this theorem, similarly to [1], the strong structure of the boundary implies the existence of a Killing vector field along the generators of the horizon. Such Killing vector field is then proven to be extendible as a Killing field in a full neighborhood of the horizon, using the commutator relation $[Z, L] = L$ as in [1], and then using Carleman estimates to prove unique continuation.

The aim of this paper is to prove the extension of the Killing vector field in an

[1] As defined below in Equation (1).

electrovacuum spacetime defined in a open domain which is strongly null-convex, and it will make use of the more general extension given by the Jacobi equation, as inspired by the proof in [4]. We state the extension theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (M, g) is a smooth electrovacuum spacetime, and let $O \subset M$ be a strongly null convex domain*[2] *at a point $p \in \partial O$. Suppose that the metric g admits a smooth Killing vector field Z in O that preserves F, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_Z F = 0$ in O. Then Z extends to a Killing vector field for g to a neighborhood of the point p in M. Moreover, this extension preserves the electromagnetic tensor F.*

Our second theorem provides a counterexample to extendibility, in the setting of the charged black hole rigidity problem. In [4], the authors provided a counterexample in Kerr spacetime to the extension of the axially symmetric Killing vector field from a point that is not on the bifurcation sphere (see Theorem 1.3. in [4]). Here we generalize the counterexample to the Kerr-Newman spacetime $\mathcal{K}(m, a, Q)$. We show that one can modify the Kerr-Newman spacetime smoothly, on one side of the event horizon of the black hole, in such a way that the resulting metric is still an electrovacuum spacetime, has a stationary Killing field $T = \partial_t$, but does not admit an extension of the axially symmetric Killing vector field. This result is related to the rigidity problem of a charged black hole, since it illustrates the difficulties in constructing additional symmetries in a smooth spacetime (without the assumption of analyticity). We state the theorem containing the counterexample:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $0 < a < m$, and $U_0 \subset \mathcal{K}(m, a, Q)$ is an open set such that $U_0 \cap \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \overline{\boldsymbol{E}} \neq \varnothing$. Then there is an open set $U \subset U_0$ diffeomorphic to the open ball $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, $U \cap \mathcal{H}^+ \neq \varnothing$ and a smooth Lorentz metric $\tilde{g}$ in U with the following properties:*

- *$(U, \tilde{g})$ is an electrovacuum spacetime, i.e. $\mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{g}) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{F})$ in U, where $\tilde{F}$ is an electromagnetic tensor that verifies the Maxwell equations (2) and (3),*
- *$\mathcal{L}_T \tilde{g} = 0$ in U,*
- *$g = \tilde{g}$ and $F = \tilde{F}$ in $U \setminus \boldsymbol{E}$,*
- *the vector field Z does not extend to a Killing vector field for $\tilde{g}$ in U, commuting with T.*

[2] As defined below in Definition 3.1

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we define electrovacuum spacetimes and present some of their properties, in section 3 we prove the unique extension result and in section 4 we present the counterexample to the extendibility. In the Appendix we derive the reduced equations on the orthogonal hypersurface to a Killing vector field for the Ernst and the electromagnetic potential that are needed in the construction of the counterexample.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Sergiu Klainerman and Mu-Tao Wang for suggesting the problem and for valuable discussions.

2 Electrovacuum spacetimes

We define electrovacuum spacetimes[3], to be any pseudo-Riemannian n-manifolds (M, g) which satisfy the Einstein equation

$$\mathrm{Ric} - \frac{1}{2} R g = \mathcal{T}(F) \tag{1}$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor of (M, g), R its scalar curvature and $\mathcal{T}(F)$ the stress-energy tensor associated to an electromagnetic tensor F, given in coordinates by

$$\mathcal{T}(F)_{ij} = 2 g^{lk} F_{il} F_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} F^2$$

where $F^2 = g^{ij} g^{kl} F_{ik} F_{jl}$. The electromagnetic tensor F is a 2-form that satisfies the Maxwell equations, i.e.

$$D^i F_{ij} = 0. \tag{2}$$

$$D_i F_{jk} + D_j F_{ki} + D_k F_{ij} = 0 \tag{3}$$

where D denotes the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g).

Remark. By construction, the stress-energy tensor is trace free, i.e. $g^{ij} \mathcal{T}(F)_{ij} = 0$. By taking the trace with respect to g of (1) we see that the scalar curvature tensor of an electrovacuum spacetime vanishes, $R = 0$, therefore the Einstein equation is reduced to $R_{ij} = \mathcal{T}(F)_{ij}$.

[3]Note that we call spacetime any pseudo-Riemannian manifold that is not necessarily 4 dimensional. The notation is inspired by the Einstein equation in General Relativity.

We summarize in the following proposition some properties of the Ricci tensor and the electromagnetic tensor of an electrovacuum spacetime.[4]

Proposition 2.1. *In an electrovacuum spacetime, the following relations hold true:*

$$D^i R_{ijkl} = D_k R_{jl} - D_l R_{jk} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Box R_{ij} = 2g^{lk} \Box F_{il} F_{jk} + 4g^{lk} D_m F_{il} D^m F_{jk} + \\ + 2g^{lk} F_{il} \Box F_{jk} - g_{ij} (\Box F^l_k F^k_l + 2 D_m F^k_l D^m F^l_k) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Box R_{jklm} = D_j (D_l R_{km} - D_m R_{kl}) - D_k (D_l R_{jm} - D_m R_{jl}) + \\ + R^p_j R_{kpml} - R^p_k R_{pjlm} + R^i{}_{jk}{}^p R_{iplm} - R^i{}_{kj}{}^p R_{iplm} \\ + R^i{}_{jl}{}^p R_{kimp} - R^i{}_{jm}{}^p R_{kilp} + R^i{}_{kl}{}^p R_{ijmp} - R^i{}_{km}{}^p R_{ijlp} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\Box F_{jk} = R^i{}_{jk}{}^m F_{im} + R^i{}_{ji}{}^m F_{mk} + R^i{}_{ki}{}^m F_{jm} + R^i{}_{kj}{}^m F_{mi} \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Box D_m F_{kj} = D^i R_{mi}{}^l{}_j F_{lk} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_j D^i F_{lk} + D^i R_{mi}{}^l{}_k F_{jl} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_k D^i F_{jl} + \\ + D_m (R^i{}_{jk}{}^m F_{mi} + R_j{}^m F_{km}) + R^i{}_{mj}{}^l D_l F_{ki} + R^i{}_{mk}{}^l D_j F_{li} + R_m{}^l D_j F_{kl} + \\ + D_m (R^j{}_{ki}{}^m F_{mj} + R_k{}^m F_{im}) + R^j{}_{mk}{}^l D_l F_{ij} + R^j{}_{mi}{}^l D_k F_{lj} + R_m{}^l D_k F_{il} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\Box = D^k D_k$ *is the D'Alembertian operator of* (M, g).

Remark. Note that equations (4) and (6) are true for any metric, not for electrovacuum spacetimes only. In the case of Ricci flat manifolds as in [4], the two equations simplifies to $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{Riem}) = 0$ and $\Box \mathrm{Riem}$ is a smooth multiple of Riem only, and doesn't depend on the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor.

Proof. The divergence equation for the Riemann tensor is a conseguence of the second Bianchi identity,

$$D_i R_{jmkl} + D_j R_{mikl} + D_m R_{ijkl} = 0$$

In fact, contracting with g^{ml} we have

$$D_i R_{jk} - D_j R_{ik} + D^l R_{ijkl} = 0$$

[4]Note that we denote with R the curvature of the manifold, and the indices will clarify if we are referring to the Riemann tensor of the Ricci tensor. For example, R_{ijkl} denotes the Riemann tensor and R_{ij} denotes the Ricci tensor. When we prefer an expression without indices we will denote them Riem and Ric respectively.

which is the desired expression.

The wave equation for F is a consequence of equation (3). In fact, applying D^i to the equation and commuting covariant derivatives,

$$0 = D^i D_i F_{jk} + D^i D_j F_{ki} + D^i D_k F_{ij} =$$

$$= \Box F_{jk} + D_j D^i F_{ki} + R^i{}_{jk}{}^m F_{mi} + R^i{}_{ji}{}^m F_{km} + D_k D^i F_{ij} + R^i{}_{ki}{}^m F_{mj} + R^i{}_{kj}{}^m F_{im}$$

and using equation (2) to eliminate the divergence of F, we get the desired expression.

The wave equation for Ric is a consequence of the Einstein equation and equation (7). In fact,

$$\Box R_{ij} = \Box(2g^{lk}F_{il}F_{jk} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}F^2) =$$

$$= 2g^{lk}\,\Box\, F_{il}F_{jk} + 4g^{lk}D_m F_{il}D^m F_{jk} + 2g^{lk}F_{il}\,\Box\, F_{jk} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\,\Box\,(F^2),$$

and

$$\Box(F^2) = \Box(g^{ij}g^{kl}F_{ik}F_{jl}) = 2\,\Box\, F^l_i F^i_l + 2D_m F^i_l D^m F^l_i$$

that combined with the previous one gives the desired expression.

The wave equation for Riem is a conseguence of the second Bianchi identity and of equation (4). In fact, differentiating and contracting the second Bianchi identity we get

$$D^i D_i R_{jklm} + D^i D_j R_{kilm} + D^i D_k R_{ijlm} = 0$$

and commuting the derivatives in the second and third term and substituting the divergence with equation (4), we obtain

$$D^i D_i R_{jklm} = D_j(D_l R_{km} - D_m R_{kl}) - R^i{}_{jk}{}^p R_{pilm} - R^p_j R_{kplm} - R^i{}_{jl}{}^p R_{kipm} +$$

$$-R^i{}_{jm}{}^p R_{kilp} - D_k(D_l R_{jm} - D_m R_{jl}) - R^p_k R_{pjlm} - R^i{}_{kj}{}^p R_{iplm} - R^i{}_{kl}{}^p R_{ijpm} - R^i{}_{km}{}^p R_{ijlp}$$

which is the expression we wanted.

The wave equation for DF is a consequence of equation (3) and equation (2). In fact, applying D_m to the equation (3) and commuting derivatives,

$$0 = D_m D_i F_{jk} + D_m D_j F_{ki} + D_m D_k F_{ij} =$$

$$= D_i D_m F_{jk} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_j F_{lk} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_k F_{jl} + D_m D_j F_{ki} + D_m D_k F_{ij}$$

and contracting with D^i and commuting covariant derivatives,

$$0 = D^i D_i D_m F_{jk} + D^i R_{mi}{}^l{}_j F_{lk} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_j D^i F_{lk} + D^i R_{mi}{}^l{}_k F_{jl} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_k D^i F_{jl} +$$

$$+D^iD_mD_jF_{ki} + D^iD_mD_kF_{ij}$$

The last two terms can be reduced to

$$D^iD_mD_jF_{ki} = D_mD^iD_jF_{ki} + R^i{}_{mj}{}^lD_lF_{ki} + R^i{}_{mk}{}^lD_jF_{li} + R_m{}^lD_jF_{kl}$$

and commuting again derivatives in the first term,

$$D_m(D^iD_jF_{ki}) = D_m(D_j(D^iF_{ki}) + R^i{}_{jk}{}^mF_{mi} + R_j{}^mF_{km})$$

and since the divergence term cancels out, we are left with only F and DF terms. More precisely, putting them together we have

$$\begin{aligned}D^iD_iD_mF_{kj} = {}& D^iR_{mi}{}^l{}_jF_{lk} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_jD^iF_{lk} + D^iR_{mi}{}^l{}_kF_{jl} + R_{mi}{}^l{}_kD^iF_{jl} + \\ &+D_m(R^i{}_{jk}{}^mF_{mi} + R_j{}^mF_{km}) + R^i{}_{mj}{}^lD_lF_{ki} + R^i{}_{mk}{}^lD_jF_{li} + R_m{}^lD_jF_{kl} + \\ &+D_m(R^j{}_{ki}{}^mF_{mj} + R_k{}^mF_{im}) + R^j{}_{mk}{}^lD_lF_{ij} + R^j{}_{mi}{}^lD_kF_{lj} + R_m{}^lD_kF_{il}\end{aligned}$$

□

We will use the notation $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B^1,\dots,B^k)$ to denote any smooth multiple of tensors $B^1,\dots,B^k$, i.e. any tensor of the form

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B^1,\dots,B^k)_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = B^1_{j_1,\dots j_{r_1}}C^1{}_{i_1,\dots,i_n}{}^{j_1,\dots j_{r_1}} + \dots + B^k_{j_1,\dots j_{r_k}}C^k{}_{i_1,\dots,i_n}{}^{j_1,\dots j_{r_k}} \tag{9}$$

for some tensors $C^1,\dots,C^k$.

Remark. In the following, we will use the notation $\mathcal{M}(B^1,\dots,B^k)$ to denote any smooth multiple of tensors $B^1,\dots,B^k$ with coefficients $C^1,\dots,C^k$ depending on $\mathrm{Riem}, \mathrm{Ric}$ and F and their covariant derivatives.

Using this notation and combining together the equations above, the previous proposition can be summarized as

$$\Box\,\mathrm{Ric} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F, DF) \tag{10}$$
$$\Box\,\mathrm{Riem} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathrm{Riem}, DD\,\mathrm{Ric}) \tag{11}$$
$$\Box F = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F) \tag{12}$$
$$\Box DF = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F, DF) \tag{13}$$

From the expression for the stress-energy tensor $\mathcal{T}(F)$ and the Einstein equation, it is clear that the Ricci tensor is a quadratic expression of the electromagnetic tensor, i.e. in the above notation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric} &= \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F) \\ D\,\mathrm{Ric} &= \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F, DF) \\ DD\,\mathrm{Ric} &= \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F, DF, DDF)\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

thus in the following we will be able to translate the dependence on Ric, DRic, DDRic into a dependence on F, DF, DDF. In particular we will use later that

$$\Box \mathrm{Riem} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathrm{Riem}, F, DF, DDF) \tag{15}$$

3 Unique continuation of the Killing vector field

We assume that a Killing vector field Z is defined on $O \subset M$, such that it preserves the tensor F as well as the metric in the open domain O, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_Z g = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z F = 0 \tag{16}$$

in O.

Definition 3.1. A domain $O \subset M$ is said to be strongly null convex at a boundary point $p \in \partial O$ if it admits a defining smooth function $h : U \to \mathbb{R}$ with U an open neighborhood of p in M, $Dh(p) \neq 0$ such that $O \cap U = \{x \in U : h(x) < 0\}$ and

$$D^2 h(X, X)(p) < 0$$

for any $X \neq 0 \in T_p(M)$ for which $g(X, X) = g(X, Dh) = 0$.

We observe that this definition does not depend on the defining function h, and it is automatically satisfied if the metric g is Riemannian. It is satisfied also when the metric is Lorentzian and ∂O is spacelike. On the contrary it is never satisfied if ∂O is null. This condition imposes interesting restrictions only when the hypersurface is timelike.

As already pointed out in the Introduction, under more restrictive assumptions a similar result to Theorem 1.1 was proven in [8]. In this paper we present a more geometric proof that generalizes the one in [8], exactly as the proof in [4] extends the one in [1] in the case of Ricci flatness. In particular, this proof is valid for all pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, of all dimensions, which verify the Einstein equation (1), and the vector field Z is not required to be tangent to ∂O in a neighborhood of p. This paper presents the same procedure of [4], generalizing it to the electrovacuum spacetimes.

We will now overview the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The proof consists in extending the vectorfield along a geodesic, generated by a vector field denoted by L, using the Jacobi equation, and then proving that the extended vectorfield is indeed a Killing field in a full neighborhood of the point p.

To prove this, we define the deformation tensor associated to Z as $\pi = \mathcal{L}_Z g$ and we want to show that this tensor vanishes identically for the new extended vector field. In order to do so, we will compute a transport equation for the tensor π along the geodesic. However, this is not enough to prove unique continuation: we need to show the simultaneous vanishing of the tensor π, of the Lie derivative of the Riemann tensor and the Lie derivative of the electromagnetic tensor. We will derive wave equations for those, coupled with a certain number of transport equations for tensors who are all supposed to vanish in the case of a Killing vector field. We will close the system of transport and wave equations and, because of the null convexity condition, we will be able to apply Carleman estimates to the system to obtain unique extension.

Recall that the restriction of a Killing field to a geodesic is a Jacobi field. Inspired by this, as in [4], we consider a geodesic which is transversal to ∂O defined in a neighborhood U of p in M and generated by a vectorfield L. In particular, L is any smooth vector field defined in a neighborhood of p such that $D_L L = 0$ and $L(f)(p) = 0$. We use the Jacobi equation[5] to extend Z in $U \setminus O$, i.e. we solve in a neighborhood of p,

$$D_L D_L Z = R(L, Z)L \tag{17}$$

and we will still call the extension Z. Therefore, after restricting the neighborhood U of p in M, we may assume that Z and L are smooth vector fields verifying

$$D_L L = 0, \quad D_L D_L Z = R(L, Z)L \quad in\ U$$

$$\mathcal{L}_Z g = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z F = 0 \quad in\ 0,$$

and we want to prove that the last two identities are satisfied in U too.

Recall the definition of the deformation tensor $\pi = \mathcal{L}_Z g$, and that our goal is to prove that π is identically zero.

Definition 3.2. Following the notations in [4], we define the following additional tensors.

- $B = \frac{1}{2}(\pi + \omega)$, where ω is a 2-form to be determined later,
- $W = \mathcal{L}_Z \operatorname{Riem} - B \odot \operatorname{Riem}$, where Riem is the (0,4) Riemann tensor, and $\odot$ is the Nomizu product of a $(0,2)$ tensor and a $(0,4)$ tensor[6],

[5] Recall that the Jacobi equation is a second order ODE, and therefore it admits a local solution.

[6] The Nomizu product of a $(0,2)$ tensor B and a $(0,4)$ tensor R is defined to be the $(0,4)$ tensor $(B \odot R)_{ijkl} = B_i{}^m R_{mjkl} + B_j{}^m R_{imkl} + B_k{}^m R_{ijml} + B_l{}^m R_{ijkm}$.

- $E = \mathcal{L}_Z F - B \odot F$, where $\odot$ is the Nomizu product between two $(0,2)$ tensors[7],
- $G = \mathcal{L}_Z DF - B \odot DF$ where $\odot$ is the Nomizu product of a $(0,2)$ tensor and a $(0,3)$ tensor[8],
- $\dot{B} = D_L B$,
- $P_{ijk} = D_i \pi_{jk} - D_k \pi_{ij} - D_j \omega_{ik}$

Observe that the tensors W and E are very natural to consider. In fact, in order to show that the extended vector field Z is Killing, we want to prove that π and E are identically zero. Moreover, if Z is Killing, then $\mathcal{L}_Z \operatorname{Riem} = 0$, and so W is a tensor which encodes this information. The tensor P appears in the computations of the wave equations for W and E. Observe that all these tensors depend on the 2-form ω, which will be defined later, see equation (18).

Remark. Eventually, we will apply the Carleman estimates to a system of wave equations, and in order to do so we will need a dependence on only the first covariant derivative of the involved tensors. However, notice that the Riemann tensor verifies a wave equation that depends on its second covariant derivative, see equation (15). For this reason, we introduce the tensor G, which is the Lie derivative of DF. In fact, DF has the fundamental property that it verifies a wave equation depending on the first covariant derivative of F only, see equation (13). This will allow us to write a system with one equation more (the wave equation for G) but depending only on the first covariant derivative of the tensors which are involved.

As observed earlier, in the case of Ricci flat manifolds, the wave equation applied to the Riemann tensor depends on the Riemann tensor only, and not on any of its covariant derivatives. This allows the author of [4] to prove the extension of Killing field using only the wave equation for W.

Now we'll derive the equations for these tensors.

3.1 Wave equations for E, G and W

We'll make use of the following, see Lemma 7.1.3 in [2]:

[7]The Nomizu product of two $(0,2)$ tensor B and R is defined to be the $(0,2)$ tensor $(B \odot R)_{ij} = B_i{}^m R_{mj} + B_j{}^m R_{im}$.

[8]The Nomizu product of a $(0,2)$ tensor B and a $(0,3)$ tensor A is defined to be the $(0,3)$ tensor $(B \odot A)_{ijk} = B_i{}^m A_{mjk} + B_j{}^m A_{imk} + B_k{}^m A_{ijm}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any (0,k) tensor V and a vectorfield X, we have*

$$D_i\mathcal{L}_X V_{j_1\dots j_k} - \mathcal{L}_X D_i V_{j_1\dots j_k} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \Gamma_{j_n i m}(V^m_{(n)})_{j_1\dots j_k}$$

where

$$(V^m_{(n)})_{j_1\dots j_k} = V_{j_1\dots\ \ m\ \dots j_k}$$

with the n-th index raised up using the metric and Γ is defined to be

$$\Gamma_{jim} = \frac{1}{2}(D_j\pi_{im} + D_i\pi_{jm} - D_m\pi_{ij}),$$

where π is the deformation tensor of X.

Proposition 3.2. *The following wave equations hold:*

$$\Box E = \mathcal{M}(B, DB, P, DP, E)$$

$$\Box G = \mathcal{M}(B, DB, P, DP, E, G)$$

$$\Box W = \mathcal{M}(B, DB, P, DP, E, G, DG),$$

where from now on the notation $\mathcal{M}$ denotes any smooth multiple tensors with coefficients depending on $\mathrm{Riem}, \mathrm{Ric}, F$ *and their covariant derivatives.*

Proof. For the first wave equation, we make use of Lemma 3.1 to commute derivatives,

$$\Box E_{ij} = D^k D_k E_{ij} = D^k D_k(\mathcal{L}_Z F_{ij} - (B^m{}_i F_{jm} + B_j{}^m F_{im})) =$$

$$= D^k D_k \mathcal{L}_Z F_{ij} - D^k(D_k B^m{}_i F_{jm} + B^m{}_i D_k F_{jm} + D_k B_j{}^m F_{im} + B_j{}^m D_k F_{im})$$

The first term is given by

$$D^k D_k \mathcal{L}_Z F_{ij} = D^k(\mathcal{L}_Z D_k F_{ij} + \Gamma_{ikm} F^m{}_j + \Gamma_{jkm} F_i{}^m) =$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_Z(D^k D_k F_{ij}) + \Gamma_k{}^k{}_m D^m F_{ij} + \Gamma_i{}^k{}_m D_k F^m{}_j + \Gamma_j{}^k{}_m D_k F_i{}^m +$$
$$+ D^k\Gamma_{ikm} F^m{}_j + \Gamma_{ikm} D^k F^m{}_j + D^k\Gamma_{jkm} F_i{}^m + \Gamma_{jkm} D^k F_i{}^m$$

Using equation (12), we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_Z(D^k D_k F_{ij}) = \mathcal{L}_Z(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(F)) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{L}_Z F, F) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_Z F) = \mathcal{M}(E, B)$$

Therefore

$$\Box(\mathcal{L}_Z F)_{ij} = D^k\Gamma_{ikm} R^m{}_j + D^k\Gamma_{jkm} R_i{}^m + \mathcal{M}(E, B, DB)$$

The second term is

$$\Box(B \odot F)_{ij} = D^k(D_k B^m{}_i F_{jm} + B^m{}_i D_k F_{jm} + D_k B_j{}^m F_{im} + B_j{}^m D_k F_{im})$$

$$= D^k D_k B^m{}_i F_{jm} + 2D_k B^m{}_i D^k F_{jm} + B^m{}_i D^k D_k F_{jm} +$$
$$+ D^k D_k B_j{}^m F_{im} + 2D_k B_j{}^m D^k F_{im} + B_j{}^m D^k D_k F_{im}$$

and using equation (12) again,

$$\Box(B \odot F)_{ij} = D^k D_k B^m{}_i F_{jm} + D^k D_k B_j{}^m F_{im} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB)$$

Putting together the two parts, we have

$$\Box E_{ij} = D^k(\Gamma_{ikm} - D_k B_{im}) F_j^m + D^k(\Gamma_{jkm} - D_k B_{jm}) F_i^m + \mathcal{M}(B, DB, E)$$

therefore the expression follows from the identity $\Gamma_{ikm} - D_k B_{im} = \frac{1}{2} P_{imk}$.

For the second wave equation, we have

$$\Box G_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = D^k D_k G_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = D^k D_k(\mathcal{L}_Z(DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3} - (B \odot DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3}) =$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we can commute twice the Lie derivative and the covariant derivative in the first term and get

$$D^k D_k \mathcal{L}_Z (DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = D^k(\mathcal{L}_Z D_k (DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Gamma_{i_j km} (DF)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_3}) =$$

$$= D^k \mathcal{L}_Z D_k (DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} (D^k \Gamma_{i_j km} (DF)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_3} + \Gamma_{i_j km} D^k (DF)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_3})$$

Therefore, after using Lemma 3.1 to commute derivatives again, and the expression for $\Box DF$ as in equation (13), we have

$$\Box \mathcal{L}_Z (DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} D^k \Gamma_{i_j km} (DF)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_3} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB, \mathcal{L}_Z F, \mathcal{L}_Z DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{3} D^k \Gamma_{i_j km} (DF)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_3} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB, E, G)_{i_1 i_2 i_3}$$

For the second term, similarly to wave equation for E, we have

$$\Box(B \odot DF)_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} D^k D_k B_{i_j m} (DF)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_3} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB)$$

Therefore,

$$\Box(DF)_{i_1i_2i_3} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} D^k(\Gamma_{i_jkm} - D_kB_{i_jm})(DF)^m_{(j)i_1\dots i_3} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB, E, G)$$

therefore the expression follows again by the identity $\Gamma_{ikm} - D_kB_{im} = \frac{1}{2}P_{imk}$.

For the third wave equation, we have

$$\Box W_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} = D^kD_kW_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} = D^kD_k(\mathcal{L}_ZR_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} - (B \odot R)_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}) =$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we can commute twice the Lie derivative and the covariant derivative in the first term and get

$$D^kD_k\mathcal{L}_ZR_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} = D^k(\mathcal{L}_ZD_kR_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} + \sum_{j=1}^{4}\Gamma_{i_jkm}(R)^m_{(j)i_1\dots i_4}) =$$

$$= D^k\mathcal{L}_ZD_kR_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} + \sum_{j=1}^{4}(D^k\Gamma_{i_jkm}(R)^m_{(j)i_1\dots i_4} + \Gamma_{i_jkm}D^k(R)^m_{(j)i_1\dots i_4})$$

Therefore, after using Lemma 3.1 to commute derivatives again, and the expression for $\Box\,\mathrm{Riem}$ as in equation (11), we have

$$\Box\mathcal{L}_ZR_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} D^k\Gamma_{i_jkm}(R)^m_{(j)i_1\dots i_4} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB, \mathcal{L}_Z\,\mathrm{Riem}, \mathcal{L}_Z\,\mathrm{Ric}, \mathcal{L}_ZD\,\mathrm{Ric}, \mathcal{L}_ZDD\,\mathrm{Ric})_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}$$

At this point we can see the necessity of introducing the tensor G. In fact, using that $\mathcal{L}_Z\,\mathrm{Riem} = \mathcal{M}(W, B)$, $\mathcal{L}_Z\,\mathrm{Ric} = \mathcal{M}(W, B)$, and using equations (14),

$$\mathcal{L}_ZD\,\mathrm{Ric} = \mathcal{L}_Z(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(F, DF)) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_ZF, \mathcal{L}_Z(DF)) = \mathcal{M}(E, G, B)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_ZDD\,\mathrm{Ric} = \mathcal{L}_Z(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(F, DF, DDF)) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_ZF, \mathcal{L}_Z(DF), \mathcal{L}_Z(DDF))$$

and commuting the Lie and covariant derivative in the term $\mathcal{L}_Z(DDF)$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_ZDD\,\mathrm{Ric} = \mathcal{M}(E, G, B, DG, DP)$$

For the term with the Nomizu product, similarly to the previous wave equations, we have

$$\Box(B \odot R)_{i_1i_2i_3i_4} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} D^kD_kB_{i_jm}(R)^m_{(j)i_1\dots i_4} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB)$$

Putting together, we have

$$\Box W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} D^k (\Gamma_{i_j k m} - D_k B_{i_j m})(R)^m_{(j) i_1 \dots i_4} + \mathcal{M}(B, DB, P, DP, E, G, DG)$$

therefore the expression follows once again by the identity $\Gamma_{ikm} - D_k B_{im} = \frac{1}{2} P_{imk}$. □

The transport equations for the tensors B, $\dot{B}$ and P are the same as in the case of Ricci flat manifolds. We summarize them in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Given the vectorfield Z, extended to M by (17), we have*

$$L^i \pi_{ij} = 0$$

in M. Moreover, if we define ω in M as the solution of the transport equation

$$D_L \omega_{ij} = \pi_{ik} D_j L^k - \pi_{jk} D_i L^k \tag{18}$$

with $\omega = 0$ in O, then

$$L^k P_{ijk} = 0$$

$$L^i \omega_{ij} = 0$$

in M.

In M we have

$$D_L B_{ij} = \dot{B}_{ij}$$

$$D_L \dot{B}_{ij} = L^k L^m (\mathcal{L}_Z R)_{kijm} - 2\dot{B}_{kj} D_i L^k - \pi_j{}^k L^m L^p R_{mikp}$$

$$D_L P_{ijk} = 2L^m W_{ijkm} + 2L^m B_k{}^p R_{ijpm} - D_k L^m P_{ijm}$$

The proof of the Lemma is identical to the proof of Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 in [4]. In fact, the proof in that case relies entirely on the Jacobi equation (17), and doesn't use the fact that the Ricci tensor vanishes.

3.1.1 System of equations and Carleman estimates

We can summarize Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 in the following system of equations in M:

$$\begin{aligned} D_L B &= \mathcal{M}(B, \dot{B}, P, W, E, G) \\ D_L \dot{B} &= \mathcal{M}(B, \dot{B}, P, W, E, G) \\ D_L P &= \mathcal{M}(B, \dot{B}, P, W, E, G) \\ \Box E &= \mathcal{M}(B, DB, \dot{B}, D\dot{B}, P, DP, W, DW, E, DE, G, DG) \\ \Box G &= \mathcal{M}(B, DB, \dot{B}, D\dot{B}, P, DP, W, DW, E, DE, G, DG) \\ \Box W &= \mathcal{M}(B, DB, \dot{B}, D\dot{B}, P, DP, W, DW, E, DE, G, DG) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(B^1, \dots, B^k)$ is defined as in (9), and the tensor coefficients depend on Riem and F.

Motivated by these identities, we consider solutions of systems of equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Box_g S^i = \mathcal{M}(B^1, \dots, B^k, S^1, DS^1, \dots, S^d, DS^d), & i = 1, \dots, d \\ D_L B^j = \mathcal{M}(B^1, \dots, B^k, S^1, DS^1, \dots, S^d, DS^d), & j = 1, \dots, k \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

We would like to prove that a solution $S^1, \dots, S^n, B^1, \dots, B^k$ of such a system that vanishes on one side of a suitable hypersurface has to vanish in a neighborhood of the hypersurface. Notice that the system is completely analogous to the one obtained in [4], except that now we have more than one wave equation.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. As in [4], we introduce a smooth system of coordinates $\Phi^p = (x^1, \dots, x^n) : B_1 \to B_1(p)$, $\Phi^p(0) = p$, where $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$, $r > 0$, and $B_1(p)$ is an open neighborhood of p in M. Let $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ denote the induced coordinate vectorfields in $B_1(p)$ and let $B_r(p) = \Phi^p(B_r)$, $r \in (0, 1]$. For any smooth function $\phi : B \to \mathbb{C}$, where $B \subset B_1(p)$ is an open set, and $j = 0, 1, \dots$, we define

$$|\partial^j \phi(x)| = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_j = 1}^{n} |\partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_j} \phi(x)|, \quad x \in B.$$

We assume that

$$g_{ij}(p) = \mathrm{diag}(-1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

We assume also that, for some constant $A \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{x \in B_1(p)} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \sum_{ik=1}^{n} |\partial^j g_{ik}(x)| + \sup_{x \in B_1(p)} \sum_{j=1}^{4} |\partial^j h(x)| \leq A,$$

where h is the defining function of the hypersurface ∂O, as in Definition 3.1.

We use the system of coordinates Φ^p in the neighborhood of the point p, and evaluate all the tensor fields in the frame of coordinate vectorfields $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$. We will get a set of functions $G_i : B_{\delta_0} \to \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \dots I$, for the tensors $S^1, \dots, S^d$, and a set of functions $H_j : B_{\delta_0} \to \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, \dots J$, for the tensors $B^1, \dots, B^k$, that in view of equation (20) verify

$$\begin{aligned} |\,\Box_g G_i| &\leq M \sum_{l=1}^{I} (|G_l| + |\partial^1 G_l|) + M \sum_{m=1}^{J} |H_m| \\ |L(H_j)| &\leq M \sum_{l=1}^{I} (|G_l| + |\partial^1 G_l|) + M \sum_{m=1}^{J} |H_m| \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots I$, $j = 1, \dots J$, where $M \geq 1$ is a constant. Therefore, Lemma 2.10 of [4] applies identically in this case to imply Theorem 1.1. We summarize it in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\delta_0 > 0$ and $G_i, H_j : B_{\delta_0} \to \mathbb{C}$ are smooth functions, $i = 1, \dots I$, $j = 1, \dots J$ such that they satisfy equations (21) for a costant $M \geq 1$. Assume that $G_i = 0$ and $H_j = 0$ in $B_{\delta_0}(p) \cap O$, $i = 1, \dots I$, $j = 1, \dots J$. Assume also that h is strongly null convex at p, in the sense of Definition 3.1, and $L(h)(p) \neq 0$. Then $G_i = 0$ and $H_j = 0$ in $B_{\delta_1}(p)$, $i = 1, \dots I$, $j = 1, \dots J$, for some constant $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_0)$ sufficiently small.*

Lemma 3.4 is proven as Lemma 4.4 in [1], using two Carleman estimates: Proposition 3.3 in [3], and Lemma A.3 in [1]. Following the same steps, Lemma 3.4 implies that all the tensors defined in Definition 3.2 for the extended vectorfield Z vanish identically. Therefore Z is indeed a Killing vectorfield which preserves the electromagnetic tensor, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_Z g = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z F = 0$$

in a full neighborhood of p. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4 Counterexample to the extendibility

Our second theorem provides a counterexample to extendibility, in the setting of the charged black hole rigidity problem. Let $(\mathcal{K}(m, a, Q), g)$ denote the Kerr-Newman spacetime of mass m, angular momentum ma with $0 < a < m$, and charge Q. Let $M^{(end)}$ denote an asymptotic region $\mathbf{E} = I^-(M^{(end)}) \cap I^+(M^{(end)})$ the corresponding domain of outer communications, and $\mathcal{H}^+ = \partial(I^-(M^{(end)})$ the event horizon of the

corresponding black hole. Let $T = \partial_t$ denote the stationary Killing vector field of Kerr-Newman, and let $Z = \partial_\phi$ denotes its axially symmetric Killing vector field.

The counterexample stated in Theorem 1.2 shows the necessity of the strongly null convex condition for the extension of a Killing field as in Theorem 1.1. The proof follows the same procedure of the counterexample constructed in Theorem 1.3. in [4] in the case of Kerr spacetime.

Fix a point $p \in U_0 \cap \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \overline{\mathbf{E}}$ outside the bifurcation sphere $S_0 = \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \mathcal{H}^-$ and the axis of symmetry $A = \{p \in \overline{\mathbf{E}} : Z(p) = 0\}$. Consider the Kerr-Newman metric g and the induced metric

$$h_{ij} = X g_{ij} - T_i T_j,$$

where $X = g(T,T)$, on a hypersurface Π passing through the point p and transversal to T.

Remark. The metric h is nondegenerate as long as $X = g(T,T) > 0$ in Π, i.e. as long as the vector field T is spacelike. Therefore we have to perform this construction inside the ergoregion: this explains our assumption $0 < a < m$. Observe that the following construction would have failed in the case of Schwarzschild ($a = 0$), since without the presence of the ergoregion the hypersurface Π would be spacelike to begin with.

The construction of the counterexample makes use of the reduced equations in the induced metric in Π, and solves the characteristic problem for that. The Einstein electrovacuum equations together with the stationarity $\mathcal{L}_T g = 0$ are equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{ij} &= \mathrm{Ric}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} X^{-1} \nabla_i X \nabla_j X - X^{-1} B_j{}^m B_{im}, \\ \Box_h \sigma &= -X^{-1}\big(D^i \sigma D_i \sigma + \frac{1}{2} D^i X D_i \sigma\big) + \frac{7}{2} E^2, \\ \Box_h \psi &= -B^{ij} \mathcal{F}_{ij} - X^{-1} D^i X D_i \psi \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

in Π, where σ is the Ernst potential associated to T, ψ is the electromagnetic potential associated to T. Observe that the electromagnetic potential ψ uniquely determines the electromagnetic tensor F, which uniquely determines Ric_{ij} in the first equation, so the system is actually closed. We define in the Appendix all the quantities appearing in the system and we derive the equations above.

We then modify the metric h and the functions X and Y[9] in a neighborhood of the point p in such a way that the identities (22) are still satisfied. The existence of

[9]The functions X and Y are defined later to be the negative real and imaginary part of the Ernst potential σ.

a large family of smooth data $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\phi})$ satisfying (22) and agreeing with the Kerr-Newman data in $\Pi \setminus \mathbf{E}$ follows by solving a characteristic initial value problem, using the main theorem in [7].

Finally, we construct the spacetime metric $\tilde{g}$ associated to the induced metric $\tilde{h}$ as

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = \tilde{X}^{-1}\tilde{h}_{ij} + \tilde{X}\tilde{A}_i\tilde{A}_j, \quad \tilde{g}_{i4} = \tilde{X}\tilde{A}_i, \quad \tilde{g}_{44} = \tilde{X} \tag{23}$$

for $T = \partial_4$, where A is a suitable 1-form, as derived in the Appendix. By construction, this metric verifies the identities $\mathrm{Ric}^{(\tilde{g})} = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{F})$ and $\mathcal{L}_T\tilde{g} = 0$ in a suitable open set U. Then we show that we have enough flexibility to choose initial conditions for $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ such that the vector field Z cannot be extended as a Killing vector field for $\tilde{g}$ in the open set U.

4.1 Explicit calculations in Kerr-Newman spacetime

For completeness, we compute Ricci coefficients, curvature components and Ernst potential of the Kerr-Newman metric. The Kerr-Newman spacetime $\mathcal{K}(m, a, Q)$ in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates is given by

$$g = -(1 - \frac{2mr - Q^2}{\rho^2})dt^2 - \frac{2a(2mr - Q^2)}{\rho^2}\sin^2\theta d\phi dt +$$

$$+\sin^2\theta(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{a^2(2mr - Q^2)}{\rho^2}\sin^2\theta)d\phi^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2$$

where

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta$$

$$\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr + Q^2.$$

Making the change of variables

$$du_- = dt - \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta}dr, \quad d\phi_- = d\phi - \frac{a}{\Delta}dr$$

then the spacetime in the new coordinates (θ, r, ϕ_-, u_-) becomes

$$g = \rho^2 d\theta^2 - 2du_- dr + 2a\sin^2\theta d\phi_- dr - \frac{2a(2mr - Q^2)\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}d\phi_- du_- +$$

$$+\frac{\Sigma^2\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}d\phi_-^2 + \frac{2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2}{\rho^2}du_-^2$$

where

$$\Sigma^2 = (r^2+a^2)\rho^2 + a^2(2mr - Q^2)\sin^2\theta = (r^2+a^2)^2 - a^2\sin^2\theta\Delta$$

and the vectorfield $T = \partial_t$ becomes $T = \partial_{u_-}$. The metric g and the vector field T are smooth in the region

$$R = \{(\theta, r, \phi_-, u_-) \in (0,\pi)\times(0,\infty)\times(-\pi, pi)\times\mathbb{R} : 2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2 > 0\}.$$

Let

$$X = g(T,T) = \frac{2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2}{\rho^2}$$

and let $h_{ij} = Xg_{ij} - T_iT_j$ be the induced metric on $\Pi = \{(\theta, r, \phi_-, u_-) \in R : u_- = 0\}$. Let $\partial_1 = \partial_\theta$, $\partial_2 = \partial_r$, $\partial_3 = \partial_{\phi_-}$, then the components of the metric h along the surface Π are

$$h_{11} = 2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2, \quad h_{12} = h_{13} = 0, \quad h_{22} = -1, \quad h_{23} = -a\sin^2\theta, \quad h_{33} = -\Delta\sin^2\theta$$

and the Ricci curvature of the induced metric h has components

$$\mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{11} = \frac{2m^2a^2\sin^2\theta}{(2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2)^2}, \quad \mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{22} = \frac{2m^2}{(2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2)^2}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{12} = \mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{13} = \mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{23} = \mathrm{Ric}^{(h)}{}_{33} = 0.$$

The electromagnetic potential of Kerr-Newman is

$$\psi = -\frac{Qr}{\rho^2} + i\frac{Qra\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}$$

and the Ernst potential is

$$\sigma = 1 - \frac{2mr - Q^2}{r(r + ia\cos\theta)}$$

and therefore

$$Y = -Im(\sigma) = -\frac{a\cos\theta(2mr - Q^2)}{r\rho^2}.$$

The components of the spacetime metric g in the coordinates (θ, r, ϕ_-, u_-) have the form

$$g_{ij} = X^{-1}h_{ij} + XA_iA_j, \quad g_{i4} = XA_i, \quad g_{44} = X, \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3$$

where

$$A_1 = 0, \quad A_2 = -\frac{\rho^2}{2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2}, \quad A_3 = -\frac{a\sin^2\theta(2mr - Q^2)}{2mr - Q^2 - \rho^2}.$$

We can summarize the results in the Appendix in the case of the Kerr-Newman saying that the quantities (h, σ, ψ, A) verify the system of equations (22) together with (46).

4.2 Construction of the counterexample

We would like to construct now a family of triplets $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi})$ and 1-forms $\tilde{A}$, such that the equations (22) and (46) are still satisfied in a neighborhood in Π of a fixed point $p \in (U_0 \cap \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \overline{\mathbf{E}}) \setminus (A \cup S_0)$. Let $N_0 = \{x \in \Pi : r(x) = r_+ = m + \sqrt{m^2 - a^2 - Q^2}\}$.[10] This is a 2-dimensional hypersurface in Π and the vector fields ∂_1 and ∂_3 are tangent to N_0 and we have $h(\partial_3, \partial_3) = h(\partial_3, \partial_1) = 0$, therefore N_0 is a null hypersurface in Π. Along N_0 we define the smooth transversal null vector field

$$L = (2a^2 \sin^2\theta - \Delta)^{-1}(2a\partial_2 - (\sin\theta)^{-2}\partial_3).$$

Let $P = \{x \in N_0 : \phi_-(x) = 0\}$ and $p = \{x \in P : \theta(x) = \theta_0 \in (0, \pi)\}$, therefore P is a smooth curve in N_0 and $p \in P$ is a point. We extend the vector field L to a small neighborhood D of p in Π, by solving the geodesic equation $D_L L = 0$ in D. Then we construct the null hypersurface N_1 in D as the congruence of geodesic curves tangent to L and passing through the curve P. Let $D_- = \{x \in D : \Delta(x) < 0\}$ and $D_+ = \{x \in D : \Delta(x) > 0\}$.

The following proposition is a conseguence of the main theorem in [7], about the characheristic problem for hyperbolic equations.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi} : N_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ are smooth functions satisfying*

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma, \quad \tilde{\psi} = \psi$$

in $N_1 \cap D_-$. Then there is a small neighborhood D' of p in Σ, a smooth metric $\tilde{h}$ in $J^+(N_1) \cap D'$, and smooth extension $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi} : J^+(N_1) \cap D' \to \mathbb{C}$ such that in $J^+(N_1) \cap D'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}^{(\tilde{h})}{}_{ij} &= \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\psi}, D\tilde{\psi})_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{X}^{-1}\nabla_i\tilde{X}\nabla_j\tilde{X} - \tilde{X}^{-1}\tilde{B}^m_j\tilde{B}_{im}, \\ \Box_{\tilde{h}}\tilde{\sigma} &= -\tilde{X}^{-1}(D^i\tilde{\sigma}D_i\tilde{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}D^i\tilde{X}D_i\tilde{\sigma}) + \frac{7}{2}\tilde{E}^2, \\ \Box_h\tilde{\psi} &= -\tilde{B}^{ij}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{ij} - \tilde{X}^{-1}D^i\tilde{X}D_i\tilde{\psi} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\psi}, D\tilde{\psi})$ denotes the dependence of Ric *in terms of its electromagnetic potential. In particular,*

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\psi}, D\tilde{\psi})_{ij} = 2\tilde{X}^{-1}g^{lk}(T_iD_l(Re(\tilde{\psi})) - T_lD_i(Re(\tilde{\psi})) + \epsilon_{ilkm}T^kD^m(Im(\tilde{\psi})))\cdot$$

$$\cdot(T_jD_k(Re(\tilde{\psi})) - T_kD_j(Re(\tilde{\psi})) + \epsilon_{jklm}T^lD^m(Im(\tilde{\psi}))) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{X}^{-1}g_{ij}(D(Re(\tilde{\psi})))^2.$$

[10] Recall that r_+ is the largest root of $\Delta(r) = 0$, and $r = r_+$ corresponds to the event horizon in Kerr-Newman spacetime.

In addition,

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma, \quad \tilde{\psi} = \psi, \quad \tilde{h} = h$$

in $J^+(N_1) \cap D' \cap D_-$ *and, for any vector field* V *tangent to* $N_1 \cap D'$*,*

$$\tilde{h}(L, V) = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_L L = 0$$

along $N_1 \cap D'$*.*

To construct the desired spacetime metric $\tilde{g}$ from the reduced one $\tilde{h}$ using the formula (23), we need to extend the 1-form A. We can apply Proposition 3.4. in [4].

Proposition 4.2. *There is a smooth 1-form* $\tilde{A}_i$ *in a neighborhood* D *of* p *in* $J^+(N_1)$ *satisfying*

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{A}_j - \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{A}_i = \tilde{X}^{-3/2}(\tilde{\epsilon}_{ijk}\tilde{\nabla}^k \tilde{Y})$$

and $\tilde{A} = A$ *in* $D \cap D_-$*.*

The proof the proposition can be found in [4].

Let $\tilde{h}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{A}, D$ as given by Proposition 4.1 and 4.2. In $D \times I$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, we define the Lorentz metric $\tilde{g}$ by the formula (23). The functions $\tilde{h}_{ij}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{A}_i$, originally defined in D are extended to $D \times I$ by

$$\partial_4(\tilde{\sigma}) = \partial_4(\tilde{\psi}) = \partial_4(\tilde{A}_i) = \partial_4(\tilde{h}_{ij}) = 0.$$

We can summarize the properties of the construction above in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *The metric* $\tilde{g}$ *agrees with the Kerr-Newman metric* g *in* $(D \cap D_-) \times I$ *and satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_4}\tilde{g} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\partial_4}\tilde{F} = 0, \quad \mathrm{Ric}^{(\tilde{g})} = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{F})$$

in $D \times \mathbb{R}$*, for an electromagnetic tensor* $\tilde{F}$*. Moreover if* $Z = Z^4\partial_4 + Z^i\partial_i$ *is a Killing vector field for* $\tilde{g}$ *in* $D \times I$ *and if* $[Z, \partial_4] = 0$*, then* $Z' = Z^i\partial_i$ *is a Killing vector field for* $\tilde{h}$ *in* D *satisfying* $Z'(\tilde{X}) = Z'(\tilde{Y}) = 0$*.*

The Proposition follows from the construction and from Proposition 3.6. in [4]

We can now complete the proof of the Theorem 1.2. After constructing the metric $\tilde{g}$ as above, it remains to show that we can arrange our construction in such a way that the vector field Z cannot be extended as a Killing vector field for the modified metric $\tilde{g}$, and that preserves the electromagnetic tensor $\tilde{F}$. Using Proposition 4.3, it suffices to prove that we can arrange the construction such that the vector field ∂_3

(the one corresponding to the axial symmetric vector field ϕ) cannot be extended to a vectorfield in Z' in D such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'}\tilde{h} = 0, \quad Z'(\tilde{X}) = Z'(\tilde{Y}) = 0 \tag{25}$$

in D. In fact, the procedure is the same as for the Ricci flat case. In fact, to negate the existence of an extended Killing vector field that preserves the metric and the the electromagnetic tensor, it suffices to show (as in [4]) that there is no extension that preserves the metric or the electromagnetic tensor. As in [4], we assume that (25) holds and show that there is a choice of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ along N_1 such that (24) is violated.

Assuming that (25) holds, we define the geodesic vector field $\tilde{L}$ in D as in the construction above, and choose a frame $e_2 = \tilde{L}$, $e_3 = Z'$ and e_1 an additional vector field in D such that $\tilde{h}(e_1, e_2) = \tilde{h}(e_1, e_3) = 0$, $\tilde{h}(e_1, e_1) = 1$. We can define the Ricci coefficients and the curvature components of the metric $\tilde{h}$ with respect to this frame, and the formulas are identical to corresponding quantities in [4] (equations (3.41), (3.42), (3.43) in [4]). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(e_1, e_1) - 1 = \tilde{h}(e_1, e_2) = \tilde{h}(e_1, e_3) = \tilde{h}(e_2, e_2) = \tilde{h}(e_2, e_3) + 1 = 0 \\ \Gamma_{i22} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{i3j} + \Gamma_{j3i} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{i3j} = \Gamma_{ij3} \\ R^{\tilde{h}}{}_{i323} = e_2(\Gamma_{i33}) - \Gamma_{233}\Gamma_{i32} - \Gamma_{132}\Gamma_{i13} + \Gamma_{332}\Gamma_{i23} \\ R^{\tilde{h}}{}_{1223} = e_2(\Gamma_{123}), \quad R^{\tilde{h}}{}_{2121} = e_2(\Gamma_{211}) + \Gamma_{211}\Gamma_{121} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We can now obtain our desired contradiction by constructing a pair of smooth functions $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ that determine $\tilde{\sigma}$ along N_1 such that not all those identities can be simultaneously verified along N_1. Notice that in this electrovacuum case, we can simply leave unchanged the electromagnetic potential $\tilde{\psi}$, because the modification of $\tilde{\sigma}$ will already give the desired contradiction. We fix a smooth system of coordinates $y = (y^1, y^2, y^3)$ in a neighborhood of the point $p \in \Pi$ such that

$$N_1 = \{q : y^3(q) = 0\}, \quad N_0 = \{q : y^2(q) = 0\},$$

and $L = \tilde{L} = \partial_{y^2}$ along N_1. More precisely, we fix the L as in the unperturbed Kerr-Newman in a neighborhood of p and define first y^2 such that y^2 vanishes on N_0 and $L(y^2) = 1$. Then we complete the coordinate system on N_0 and extend it by solving $L(y^1) = L(y^3) = 0$.

Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0, 1]$ is a smooth function equal to 1 in the unit ball and vanishing outside the ball of radius 2. As in [4], consider functions $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{\psi}$ of the form

$$\tilde{X}(q) = X(q), \quad \tilde{Y}(q) = Y(q) + \epsilon f\left(\frac{y(q) - y(p')}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \tilde{\psi} = \psi \tag{27}$$

for $q \in N_1$, where p' is a fixed point in $N_1 \cap D_+$ sufficiently close to p, and (X, Y, ψ) are the quantities in Kerr-Newman. We show that such a choice leads to a contradiction, for ϵ sufficiently small.

Let $e_i = K_i^j \partial_{y^i}$. Using the last identity in (26) and the first identity in (22) along N_1, we derive that

$$\partial_{y^2}(\Gamma_{211}) - (\Gamma_{211})^2 = \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\psi}) + \frac{1}{2\tilde{X}^2}[\partial_2(\tilde{X})^2 + \partial_2(\tilde{Y})^2] \tag{28}$$

along N_1. In addition, since $[e_2, e_1] = \partial_{y^2}(K_1^1)\partial_{y^1} + \partial_{y^2}(K_1^2)\partial_{y^2}$ along N_1, it follows that $\partial_{y^2}(K_1^1) = K_1^1\Gamma_{211}$ along N_1. Using the first identity in the last line in (26) and the Ricci equation in (22) similarly, together with the ansatz (27) it follows, by standard ODE theory, that

$$|G| + |\partial_{y^2}(G)| \lesssim 1$$

for any $G \in \{\Gamma_{211}, K_1^1, 1/K_1^1, \Gamma_{123}, K_1^2\}$ along N_1, uniformly for all $p' \in N_1$ sufficiently close to p and ϵ sufficiently small.

Using the Ricci identity in (22), the identities $e_3(\tilde{X}) = e_4(\tilde{X}) = 0$, and the bounds above, it follows that

$$\sum_{i,j\in\{1,2,3\}} |R^{\tilde{h}}{}_{ij}| \lesssim 1$$

along N_1. Using the identities in the first, second and third line of (26), it follows that

$$|\tilde{h}_{33}| + |\partial_{y^2}(\tilde{h}_{33})| + |\partial_{y^2}(\partial_{y^2}(\tilde{h}_{33}))| \lesssim 1$$

along N_1, uniformly for all $p' \in N_1$ sufficiently close to p and ϵ sufficiently small.

We can now derive a contradiction by examining the second equation in (22),

$$\tilde{h}^{ij}\tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j(\tilde{Y}) = 2\tilde{X}^{-1}\tilde{h}^{ij}e_i(\tilde{X})e_j(\tilde{Y}).$$

Using the previous bounds, it follows that

$$|e_1(e_1(\tilde{Y})) - \tilde{h}_{33}e_2(e_2(\tilde{Y}))| \lesssim 1$$

along N_1, uniformly for all $p' \in N_1$ sufficiently close to p and ϵ sufficiently small. This cannot happen as can be seen by letting first $\epsilon \to 0$ and then $p' \to p$, taking into account that $h_{33} = K_1^2 = 0$ along $N_0 \cap N_1$.

A Reduction by Killing vector fields in the electrovacuum case

We mainly follow [5].

We assume that K is a Killing vector field in an electrovacuum spacetime (M, g), i.e. $D_iK_j + D_jK_i = 0$. Moreover, we assume that K preserves the electromagnetic tensor, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_K F = 0$[11]. We want to find a closed system of equations for the metric and the electromagnetic tensor, and for the reduced equations in the hypersurface orthogonal to the Killing vector field.

We define the two form $B_{ij} = D_iK_j$[12] and $X = g(K, K)$. We recall that, since K is Killing, we have

$$D_iD_jK_l = R^m{}_{ijl}K_m \tag{29}$$

In view of the first Bianchi identity for Riem, we infer that

$$D_iB_{jk} + D_jB_{ki} + D_kB_{ij} = 0 \tag{30}$$

and

$$D^iB_{ji} = D^iD_jK_i = R^{mi}{}_{ji}K_m = R^m{}_jK_m \tag{31}$$

Define the complex valued 2-form $\mathcal{B}_{ij} = B_{ij} + i * B_{ij}$, where $*B$ is the Hodge dual of B, and because of (30) and (31),

$$D_i\mathcal{B}_{jk} + D_j\mathcal{B}_{ki} + D_k\mathcal{B}_{ij} = 0 \tag{32}$$

and

$$D^i\mathcal{B}_{ji} = D^iB_{ji} + iD^i * B_{ji} = R^i{}_jK_i \tag{33}$$

since $D^i * B_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{jikl}D^iB^{kl} = D_iB_{jk} + D_jB_{ki} + D_kB_{ij} = 0$.

We define the Ernst 1-form associated to the Killing vector field K,

$$\sigma_i = 2K^j\mathcal{B}_{ji}.$$

[11] In Section 4 the reduced equations are applied to $K = T = \partial_t$.

[12] Notice that in the literature this 2-form constructed out of a Killing vector field is normally denoted F. Here we prefered to use a different notation to avoid confusion with the electromagnetic tensor.

Proposition A.1. *The following equations hold true,*

$$D_i\sigma_j - D_j\sigma_i = 0, \tag{34}$$
$$D^i\sigma_i = -\mathcal{B}^2 + 2R_{ij}K^iK^j \tag{35}$$

Proof. We have

$$2^{-1}(D_i\sigma_j - D_j\sigma_i) = K^m(D_j\mathcal{B}_{mi} - D_i\mathcal{B}_{mj}) + D_jK^m\mathcal{B}_{mi} - D_iK^m\mathcal{B}_{mj} = -\mathcal{L}_K\mathcal{B}_{ij} = 0,$$

$$2^{-1}D^i\sigma_i = K^mD^i\mathcal{B}_{mi} + D^iK^m\mathcal{B}_{mi} = -2^{-1}\mathcal{F}^2 + R_{ij}K^iK^j$$

□

We introduce the following decomposition of the tensor $\mathcal{B}$, which will be useful later to decompose the electromagnetic tensor too in its contraction with the Killing vector K. Suppose we have

$$i_K(B)_i = K^jB_{ji}, \quad i_K(*B)_i = K^j * B_{ji}, \quad i_K(\mathcal{B})_i = K^j\mathcal{B}_{ji}.$$

Then we can decompose B and $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$g(K,K)B_{ij} = K_ii_K(B)_j - K_ji_K(B)_i + \epsilon_{ijkl}K^ki_K(*B)^l \tag{36}$$
$$g(K,K)\mathcal{B}_{ij} = K_ii_K(\mathcal{B})_j - K_ji_K(\mathcal{B})_i - i\epsilon_{ijkl}K^ki_K(\mathcal{B})^l. \tag{37}$$

therefore in terms of σ,

$$2XB_{ij} = -K_i\sigma_j + K_j\sigma_i - \epsilon_{ijkl}K^kD^lY \tag{38}$$
$$2X\mathcal{B}_{ij} = -K_ii_K\sigma_j + K_j\sigma_i + i\epsilon_{ijkl}K^k\sigma^l \tag{39}$$

In particular,

$$g(K,K)\mathcal{B}^2 = 4i_K(B)^ii_K(B)_i = \sigma^i\sigma_i. \tag{40}$$

Since $d(\sigma_idx^i) = 0$, if M is simply connected, we infer that there exists a function $\sigma : M \to \mathbb{C}$, called the Ernst potential, such that $\sigma_i = D_i\sigma$. Note also that $D_ig(K,K) = 2B_{ij}K^j = -Re(\sigma_i)$. Hence we can choose the potential σ such that

$$Re(\sigma) = -g(K,K) = -X.$$

Therefore, the divergence equation for σ_i becomes a wave equation for σ and using (40), we have

$$\Box\sigma = -X^{-1}D_i\sigma D^i\sigma + 2R_{ij}K^iK^j \tag{41}$$

By now, the above equations are valid in any pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Now we will make use of the fact that the manifold is an electrovacuum spacetime, therefore the Ricci tensor is given by the Einstein equation (1). Defining the contractions[13] of the electromagnetic tensor F and its Hodge dual with the Killing vector field K,

$$E_i = i_K(F)_i = K^j F_{ji}, \quad H_i = i_K(*F)_i = K^j * F_{ji}$$

as before we see that these contractions uniquely determine the electromagnetic tensor F, with

$$g(K,K)F_{ij} = K_i E_j - K_j E_i + \epsilon_{ijkl} K^k H^l. \tag{42}$$

In particular we have

$$R_{ij}K^i K^j = (2F_{im}F^m{}_j - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}F^2)K^i K^j = 2E^2 - \frac{1}{2}XF^2 \tag{43}$$

and since $F^2 = X^{-1}E^2$, the wave equation for the Ernst potential (41) becomes

$$\Box\sigma = -X^{-1}D_i\sigma D^i\sigma + \frac{7}{2}E^2.$$

Now we need equations for the electric and the magnetic part of the electromagnetic tensor. Since $dE = d(i_K F) = -i_K(dF) + \mathcal{L}_K F = 0$ by the Maxwell equations, if M is simply connected there exists an electric potential $E : M \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $E_i = D_i E$, and similarly there exists a magnetic potential $H_i = D_i H$. The Maxwell equations then translate into wave equations for E and H. In fact we have

$$\Box E = D^i D_i E = D^i E_i = D^i(K^j F_{ji}) = D^i K^j F_{ji} = -B^{ij}F_{ij}$$

$$\Box H = D^i D_i H = D^i H_i = D^i(K^j * F_{ji}) = D^i K^j * F_{ji} = -B^{ij} * F_{ij}$$

and defining the electromagnetic potential as $\psi = E + iH$, these wave equations can be summarized as

$$\Box\psi = -B^{ij}(F_{ij} + i * F_{ij}) = -B^{ij}\mathcal{F}_{ij} \tag{44}$$

Writing B^{ij} in terms of σ using (36) and writing $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$ in terms of E and H using (42), we get a closed system of equations for the Ernst potential and the electromagnetic potential, as summarized in the following:

[13] In the case when the Killing vector field K is ∂_t those decompositions correspond to the electric and magnetic part of the electromagnetic tensor respectively.

Proposition A.2. *The Ernst potential σ and the electromagnetic potential ψ of an electrovacuum spacetime (M, g) verify*

$$\Box_g \sigma = -X^{-1} D_i \sigma D^i \sigma + \frac{7}{2} E^2$$

$$\Box_g \psi = -B^{ij} \mathcal{F}_{ij}$$

Writing $\sigma = -X - iY$ we deduce from the first wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Box X &= X^{-1}(D^i X D_i X - D^i Y D_i Y) + \frac{7}{2} E^2 \\ \Box Y &= 2X^{-1} D^i X D_i Y. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Let N be a $2+1$ dimensional manifold and an embedding of N into M transversal to the integral curves of K. The null second fundamental form of K turns out to be B:

$$\mathrm{II}(X, Y) = g(D_X K, Y) = B(X, Y).$$

We can introduce local coordinates x^0, x^1, x^2, y in a neighborhood of a point $p \in N$ such that $K = \partial_y$. In these coordinates the metric g takes the form

$$g = X(dy + A)^2 + h_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

$$A = A_i dx^i$$

Note that under the change of coordinates $y' = y + f(x^0, x^1, x^2)$, the spacetime metric takes the form

$$g = X(dy' + A'_i dx^i)^2 + h_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

with $A' = A + df$. Moreover, note that $X^{-1} K_i dx^i = dy + A_i dx^i$, therefore

$$dA = d(X^{-1} K_i dx^i) = X^{-1} D_j K_i dx^j \wedge dx^i - X^{-2} D_j f K_i dx^i \wedge dx^j =$$

$$= X^{-1}(B_{ij} + X^{-1} K_i D_j f) dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

Using (38), we have

$$dA = \frac{1}{2} X^{-2}(K_i D_j f + K_j D_i f - \epsilon_{ijkl} K^k D^l Y) dx^i \wedge dx^j = -\frac{1}{2} X^{-2}(\epsilon_{ijkl} K^k D^l Y) dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

Relative to the volume form of the 3 dimensional metric h, i.e. $\epsilon^{(h)}{}_{ijk} = X^{-1/2} \epsilon_{ijkm} K^m$ and denoting ∇ the covariant derivative with respect to h, we deduce

$$dA = \nabla_j A_i dx^j \wedge dx^i = \frac{1}{2} X^{-3/2}(\epsilon^{(h)}{}_{ijk} \nabla^k Y) dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

We deduce the equation for A:

$$\nabla_i A_j - \nabla_j A_i = X^{-3/2}(\epsilon^{(h)}{}_{ijk}\nabla^k Y) \tag{46}$$

Observe that this equation is valid for any Lorentzian 4-dimensional metric, without any assumption on the Ricci tensor.

We now express the equations (45) in terms of the induced metric h. Note that since $K(\sigma) = 0$, we deduce that $D_i\sigma = \nabla_i\sigma$. Now

$$\Box_h\sigma = \Box_g\sigma - X^{-1}K^iK^jD_iD_j\sigma = \Box_g\sigma - X^{-1}K^iD_i(K^jD_j\sigma) + X^{-1}K^iD_iK^jD_j\sigma =$$

$$= \Box_g\sigma + X^{-1}K^iB_i{}^jD_j\sigma = \Box_g\sigma - \frac{1}{2}X^{-1}D^iXD_i\sigma.$$

Therefore the induced equations are

$$\Box_h\sigma = -X^{-1}(D^i\sigma D_i\sigma + \frac{1}{2}D^iXD_i\sigma) + \frac{7}{2}E^2$$

or

$$\Box_h X = X^{-1}(\frac{3}{2}\nabla^iX\nabla_iX - \nabla^iY\nabla_iY) + \frac{7}{2}E^2$$

$$\Box_h Y = \frac{5}{2}X^{-1}\nabla^iX\nabla_iY.$$

These are the normal-normal and normal-tangential components of the Einstein electrovacuum equations. The tangential-tangential components can be deduced by the Gauss-Codazzi equation

$$R_{ij} - X^{-1}R_{iKjK} = R^{(h)}{}_{ij} + X^{-1}\mathrm{II}_j{}^m\mathrm{II}_{im}.$$

From the Killing equation, we deduce

$$R_{iKjK} = K^mD_iD_mK_j = D_i(K^mD_mK_j) = D_i(-\frac{1}{2}D_jX) = -\frac{1}{2}D_iD_jX = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i\nabla_jX.$$

Thus back to the Gauss-Codazzi equation, we have

$$R^{(h)}{}_{ij} = R_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}X^{-1}\nabla_i\nabla_jX - X^{-1}B_j{}^mB_{im} \tag{47}$$

where we can write B in terms of σ using (36).

Since K preserves the electromagnetic tensor, we have that $K(\phi) = 0$, so the same computations for $\Box_h\sigma$ work for $\Box_h\psi$. We have in particular

$$\Box_h\psi = -B^{ij}\mathcal{F}_{ij} - X^{-1}D^iXD_i\psi$$

This equation for the electromagnetic potential completes the system of closed equations for the reduced Einstein equation in the electrovacuum case.

References

[1] Alexakis S., Ionescu A.D., Klainerman S., *Hawking's local rigidity theorem without analyticity*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 20 (2010), no. 4, 845-869.

[2] Christodoulou D., Klainerman S., *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*. Princeton Math. Series 41, Princeton University Press (1993)

[3] Ionescu A.D., Klainerman S., *On the uniqueness of smooth, stationary black holes in vacuum*. Invent. Math. 175 (2009), no. 1, 35-102.

[4] Ionescu A.D., Klainerman S., *On the local extension of Killing vector-fields in Ricci flat manifolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (2013), no. 2, 563-593.

[5] Klainerman S., *Reduction by Killing vector fields in General Relativity*. Personal Communication.

[6] Nomizu K., *On local and global existence of Killing vector fields*. Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 105-120.

[7] Rendall A. D., *Reduction of the characteristic initial value problem to the Cauchy problem and its applications to the Einstein equations*. Proc. Roy Soc. London Ser. A 427 (1990), no. 1872, 221-239.

[8] Yu P., *On Hawking's local rigidity theorems for charged black holes*. Ann. Henri Poincaré 11 (2010), no. 1-2, 1-21.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: egiorgi@math.columbia.edu